



Case Study of a Mastitis Investigation in an Automatic Milking System (AMS)

Context

In November of 2019 we received a call from a farm with concerns about the level of mastitis in their herd. The farm installed 2 AMS (robotic milking) about 3 years prior, at which time they also built a new 3 row, sand bedded freestall barn with drive through feeding.

In late 2018, they started treating more cows for mastitis and reported a poor recovery rate. They defined recovery as absence of abnormalities in milk. Mastitis detection methods consisted of identifying cows with high milk conductivity followed by manual striping and observation of any visible signs of abnormal milk or udder inflammation. Cows identified as having visual signs of mastitis were treated with an intramammary ceftiofur product without knowing the etiology of the mastitis-causing pathogen.

The farm was not initially conducting monthly individual somatic cell count (SCC) testing because they did not have access to an automated sample collection device. In September 2019 they were able to locate a sample device and started measuring SCC of the whole herd monthly. They observed that several cows with high SCC ($\geq 200,000$ cells/mL) did not register high conductivity levels.

The farm had been in contact with the milking equipment service personnel and their veterinarian to address the high SCC issue. In December 2019, the farmer reached out to Extension to help investigate this mastitis problem.


Milk Quality Situation

Monthly average *Bulk tank SCC* was consistently greater than 200,000 cells per mL since December 2017 and data for December 2019 is shown in the following table. The level of bulk tank SCC indicated that some cows were experiencing intramammary infections.

Test date	Bulk Tank SCC
12/02/2019	284,000
12/04/2019	219,000
12/06/2019	270,000
12/08/2019	213,000
12/10/2019	250,000
12/14/2019	265,000

12/16/2019	298,000
12/18/2019	236,000
12/22/2019	311,000

Bulk tank cultures indicated that both SPC and PI increased between August and November 2019 (Figure shown below). An inappropriate water temperature for AMS cleaning was identified and resolved after which the SPC and PI counts returned to normal values.



In March 2019, the farmer sent three bulk tank milk samples for microbiological diagnosis. These cultures identified the presence of *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Streptococcus agalactiae* meaning that the herd had one or more cows infected with contagious pathogens. The total number of non-contagious bacteria was also identified as an issue with environmental mastitis resulting from stall hygiene and/or udder preparation during milking.


We estimated the prevalence of subclinical mastitis (SM) from individual cow SCC tests (single SCC test $\geq 200,000$ cells per mL) as 24% to 49% of cows between September and December 2019. During this period 26% of cows experienced chronic SM (two consecutive SCC tests $\geq 200,000$ cell/mL). Our goals for the prevalence of [SM is <15% of cows and for chronic SM <5% of cows](#).

We concluded that there were issues with both contagious and environmental mastitis and scheduled a farm first visit to gather more information.

Farm visit

We conducted the following activities:

- Udder hygiene scoring of all cows using the scoring system recommended by Dr. [Pamela Ruegg](#). 83% of cows were scored as 1 and 2 and 17% of cows were scored 3 and 4. [Ideally no more 10% of cows should be classified as 3 and 4.](#)
- California Mastitis Tests (CMT) was performed in all quarters of cows identified as having SM (n=21), chronic SM (n=12), and fresh (n=1). Overall, we sampled 29% of the herd, and all sampled cows had at least one CMT positive quarter. Milk samples were taken from CMT positive quarters and submitted for microbiological analysis. Quarter microbiology indicated that 76% of samples were positive for bacterial growth and 46% of cows sampled were infected with contagious pathogens (figure shown below).



Our Recommendations

- Perform SCC tests on all cows.
- For cows with high SCC counts (> 200,000 cells/ml), collect milk samples from CMT positive quarters and perform culture analysis to determine the pathogen

type. Re-sample these quarters 3 to 4 days after initial sample if no bacteria are found.

- For fresh and low SCC cows, collect 3 series of composite (4 quarters) samples every 3-4 days to ensure you have not missed any infected cows. Discuss with your herd veterinarian fine tuning of this sampling strategy.
- Send milk culture samples to the Wisconsin Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory or other certified lab for microbiological analysis.
- Develop a method to segregate and/or cull cows infected with *Staphylococcus aureus*.
- Develop an individual cow mastitis treatment protocol based on microbiological results of in consultation with the Herd veterinarian. Antimicrobial therapy can be successful against *Streptococcus agalactiae* but not *Staphylococcus aureus*.
- Continue assessing intramammary status by using results from bulk tank microbiological analysis and SCC measurements from the AMS. Talk with your herd veterinarian to develop a plan to monitor intramammary status by using both data.
- Improve stall maintenance to improve cow cleanliness, especially lower legs, and udder. Use udder hygiene scoring to assess progress.
- Perform routine checks and maintenance of AMS and associated equipment to ensure proper function.

Adjustment of Farm Management Practices

The barn and pen configuration made it difficult to isolate infected cows. Modifications were made to the barn to enable splitting the herd into two groups. Efficient use of the AMS required that the number of cows in each group be approximately equal. The number of cows infected with contagious mastitis were more than ½ of the herd so aggressive culling of these cows was done at the time of group creation. The farm continued to monitor, and cull cows infected with contagious mastitis from that group.

An automated SCC measurement system was installed on each of the AMS to aid in monitoring the mastitis status of individual cows in the herd. The farm plans to continue perform microbiological testing of the bulk tank and individual cows to identify types of mastitis pathogens and aid in treatment decisions.

Take home messages

- Continuous monitoring of individual cows and bulk tank milk is necessary to identify the types and prevalence of mastitis in any herd.
- Pre and post milking sanitization can be performed with AMS technology. Both have several levels of intensity and should be adjusted according to the general cleanliness of udders in the herd. Sanitization will reduce but not eliminate the transfer of contagious mastitis pathogens. It is still necessary to monitor the herd to detect the presence of contagious pathogens.
- Keeping stalls clean and dry—and thereby udders and teats clean—is the first line of defence for environmental mastitis. Periodic udder hygiene scoring is a good way to assess the effectiveness of stall and bedding maintenance.
- Some AMS barn designs make it difficult to create isolated groups of cows, should the need arise. Consider this when designing an AMS barn.

Resources

- Udder Hygiene Scoring Chart. Pamela L Ruegg. <https://milkquality.wisc.edu/wp-content/uploads/sites/212/2011/09/udder-hygiene-scoring-chart.pdf>
- Key performance indicator: Subclinical Mastitis. Pamela L. Ruegg <https://milkquality.wisc.edu/wp-content/uploads/sites/212/2011/09/Key-Performance-Indicator-subclinical-mastitis.pdf>
- A Tool Box for Assessing Cow, Udder and Teat Hygiene. Nigel Cook and Doug Reinemann. <https://milkquality.webhosting.cals.wisc.edu/wp-content/uploads/sites/212/2011/09/toolbox-for-assessing-cow-udder-and-teat-hygiene.pdf>

Authors

Maria Jose Fuenzalida, Dane County Educator

maria.fuenzalidavalenzuela@wisc.edu

Doug Reinemann Professor UW-Madison

doug.reinemann@wisc.edu

Jackie McCarville, Green County Educator

jackie.mccarville@wisc.edu